

Lentiviral vector CMC considerations for clinical and commercial use

> Peter Jones Head of Operational Strategy Oxford Biomedica

amc 19th Technical Meeting: Manufacturing ATMPs at Scale Dublin

10 October 2019

Forward-looking statements

This presentation does not constitute an offer to sell or a solicitation of offers to buy Ordinary Shares (the "Securities"). Although reasonable care has been taken to ensure that the facts stated in this presentation are accurate and that the opinions expressed are fair and reasonable, the contents of this presentation have not been formally verified by Oxford Biomedica plc (the "Company") or any other person. Accordingly, no representation or warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the fairness, accuracy, completeness or correctness of the information and opinions contained in this presentation, and no reliance should be placed on such information or opinions. Further, the information in this presentation is not complete and may be changed. Neither the Company nor any of its respective members, directors, officers or employees nor any other person accepts any liability whatsoever for any loss howsoever arising from any use of such information or opinions or otherwise arising in connection with this presentation.

This presentation may contain forward-looking statements that reflect the Company's current expectations regarding future events, its liquidity and results of operations and its future working capital requirements. Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties. Actual events could differ materially from those projected herein and depend on a number of factors, including the success of the Company's development strategies, the successful and timely completion of clinical studies, securing satisfactory licensing agreements for products, the ability of the Company to obtain additional financing for its operations and the market conditions affecting the availability and terms of such financing.



The LentiVector® Platform Company A leader in gene and cell therapy

Oxford Biomedica – an overview



Founded in 1996

Mission – Delivering life-changing gene therapies to patients

Employees - >480 staff

Core LentiVector[®] technology platform

based on lentiviral vector *in vivo* and *ex vivo* gene delivery system

1st world-wide to administer lentiviral vector gene therapy *in vivo* (both brain and eye)

>20 years as a specialist in lentiviral vectors

- **1**st approved advanced therapy in the US using LentiVector[®] enabled technology, [Novartis's KYMRIAH[®] (tisagenlecleucel)]
- 1st commercial supplier of lentiviral vectors, post CAR-T approval
- **100's** patients treated by Oxford BioMedica or by its partners



Biomedic

Oxford Biomedica - facilities

Current

Future



* Initial phase 45,000 sq ft

5

Lentiviral vectors are one of the most common viral vectors



- Lentivirus (LV), a member of the retrovirus family
- Enveloped
- Size ~80-120 nm diameter
- Transduces non-dividing and dividing cells
- Clinical success in-vivo and ex-vivo
- Can be pseudotyped to broaden their tropism [vesicular stomatis virus (VSV-G) envelope]
- Reduced insertional mutagenesis potential

Lentiviral vectors vs. AAV vectors		
	Lentiviral Vectors	AAV Vectors
Efficient <i>in vivo</i> gene delivery	~~~	$\checkmark\checkmark\checkmark$
Safe and well tolerated	y ∕∕∕	$\checkmark\checkmark\checkmark$
Large therapeutic payload	~~~	×
 No pre-existing immunity 	~~~	×
 Permanent modification of dividing cells 	^{on} ////	×
IP protection	$\checkmark\checkmark$	\checkmark
Ease of manufacture	\checkmark	~~

Source: https://www.uvm.edu/sites/default/files/UVMRisk-Management-and-Safety/lentiviral_vectors_fact_sheet.pdf



Advanced therapy case examples: Potential for "one off" treatment



- Direct *in vivo* administration to the brain through surgery
- Encouraging signs of efficacy from ProSavin[®] clinical trial in 15 patients; >7 years of safety data – no related Serious Adverse Events (SAEs)
- OXB-102 increased potency

Adoptive T-cell immunotherapy e.g. KYMRIAH[®] (Novartis)

- Ex vivo autologous cell therapy
- Multiple diseases with CD19 target
- Initial Novartis target is paediatric ALL
- Manufacturing & logistics challenge for vector and cells

OXB LentiVector® platform – safety features

Benefit(s)	Feature
Safety—absence of replication-competent lentivirus (RCL) Yield—efficient vector production	3rd Generation is geared towards clinical applications and is considered the safest method. ~10% (861 bp) of wild type genome
	Vector components segregated on 4 separate plasmids (3 for EIAV as Rev-independent)
	Open Reading Frames (ORFs) of nonessential accessory genes and Tat removed
	Codon-optimized Gag/Pol
	Self-inactivating long terminal repeat sequence (SIN LTR) to ensure transcription in the absence of Tat.
	VSV-G - Envelope protein required for cellular docking, membrane fusion and transduction
	Rev – Accessory protein required for transcription and nuclear export of full genomic RNA
High expression	Flexible promoter sequence – product specific

Proprietary platform innovation/improved process understanding

CMC considerations for clinical and commercial use

Product development lifecycle

Processes, product characteristics, and product testing must be defined in order to ensure that the product is safe, effective and consistent between batches = CMC activities

CMC critical elements

- We build QUALITY into the product by assuring that the product is safe, effective and meets the appropriate standards consistently
- The ability to consistently produce the same vector product to meet the same specifications time after time is answered by providing answers to:
 - How and where is the product being made?
 - How are raw materials tested and monitored?
 - What control procedures are in place to assure product consistency and quality?
 - Are quality attributes adequately identified and characterised for the product?
 - Are test methods used to monitor product quality appropriate?
 - Does the product maintain its quality and stability after it is made?
 - What are the sources of variability in the process?

Source: Adapted from Cormier, E.P. (2014), www.slideserve.com/senona/elizabeth-pollina-cormier-ph-d-review-chemist-division-of-manufacturing-technologies

Drivers for change are inevitable throughout product lifecycle...

Source: Adapted from Markwick, L. (2019) Orchard Therapeutics, AMC 18th Technical Meeting Success Stories in Advanced Therapy Manufacturing

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

Linking clinical batches to commercial batches

CMC helps maintain product safety, quality and efficacy during clinical development

Manufacturing strategy considerations

Potential impact of indication and clinical development phase on production capacity requirements

- Manufacturing strategies are influenced by indication and development phase
- Major driver for process design/development/improvements in upstream volumetric productivity, recovery/downstream purification, sterile manufacturing, % step recoveries etc.

Assumptions: 1L gives approximately one dose to account process losses, testing etc. USP yield improvements targeted to realise benefits in COGS and access to high demand indications

Graded nature of CMC information during clinical development

Changes to manufacturing process

Shift from 2D planar technologies to 3D suspension culture

Adherent + 1st Gen DSP

- Serum-containing
- 1L <100L total harvest
- Manual unit operations
- Batch to batch variation
- Scale-up limited
- Low DSP recovery
- "1's to 20's" w.r.t. lentivirus 'dose' per batch
- Cost per dose v.high

Suspension + 2nd Gen DSP

- Serum-free
- 50-2,000L harvests: scale to match vector market needs
- Closed processing automation of unit operations
- Robust and consistent performance improved DSP recovery/quality
- "100s-1000s" of doses per batch
- Cost per dose reduced

Source: Adapted from Kara, B. (2017) Lentiviral Vector Manufacturing - Challenges and Solutions, AMC, Cardiff

Schematic of serum-free, suspension process (200L scale)

GMP manufacturing process for clinical and commercial supply

Sterile manufacturing process (aseptic fill & finish)

GMP manufacturing process for clinical and commercial supply

- 1. Vial to FDP: ~2000-fold volume concentration factor
- 2. Final volume determined by number of Ultra-diafiltered Drug Substance (UDFDS) lots and test data

Changes to manufacturing process

Shift to GMP stable producer cell lines

Advantages of LentiStable™

- High performing: Generates stable clones with high titres
- Scalable: Produces large amounts of vector over extended periods
- Reproducible: Eliminates variability of transient transfection
- Fast: Automation has drastically increased throughput to identify best clones
- Economical: Saves cost of plasmids and transfection reagents
- Ready-to-go: GMP compliant cell lines available

Cassius[™] robot for cell screening

Screening of LentiStable™ clones for vector production

LentiStable

Changes to manufacturing site

LentiVector Enabled

Maintaining clinical and commercial supply

- Need to continue to develop and evolve technologies and CMC strategies to support anticipated level of patient demand
- Within the past 6 years:
 - FDA approval for CLT019 Worlds first commercial LV manufacturer
 - Implemented the next generation serum-free suspension process
 - Increased yearly output 9-fold
 - Developed a network of CMO's/CTO's to improve manufacturing flexibility
 - Announced further expansion (OxBox) doubling of capacity including in-house fill & finish

Changes to manufacturing site

Capacity expansion and increased manufacturing flexibility

New OxBox facility - approx. 84,000 sq ft (7,803 sq m)

- Phase I 45,000 sq ft (4,200 sq m)
- 4 x VS suites, 2 x Fill & Finish suites
- Offices, GMP warehousing and QC micro labs
- Planned operational start date 2020
- Phase II future expansion (Fallow Area)

Changes to the analytics

Typical LV quality attributes used in clinical/commercial processes

- Comprehensive suite of in-house assays have been developed over time
- Includes full lentiviral vector characterisation, quality control and stability testing,

Platform assays

- pH
- Residual sodium butyrate
- Endotoxin
- Bioburden
- Sterility
- Mycoplasma
- Micro BCA Total Protein
- HCP ELISA
- Residual Benzonase
- PicoGreen Residual Total DNA
- 18S Residual Host Cell DNA
- KanR Residual Plasmid DNA
- VSV-G Residual Plasmid DNA
- SV40 Residual Host Cell DNA

Lentiviral specific-assays

- Vector titre
- FACS
- RNA copy number
- p24 ELISA
- RCL
- RCLCC

Product specific-assays

- Potency
- Vector ID

In development

- Mass spectrometry
- Next-gen sequencing (NGS)
- HPLC based vector quantification

Changes to analytical methods/ use of automation

Use of digitisation & automation to improve productivity and quality

Benefits of automation

- Higher throughput
- Reduced turnaround times
- Cost savings per sample
- Improved reliability & reproducibility
- Reduced operator-to-operator variability

Research Hamilton Microlab STAR

qPCR plate setup

(Script Transfer)

GMP

Hamilton Microlab STAR

- Minimise risk of human error
- Streamlined data analysis
- LIMS integration

QIACube HT

Extractions

Automated qPCR workflow

gPCR plate setup

Process validation lifecycle

Control strategy development

Control elements for product quality and process performance

Demonstrating "state of control"

Control strategy

..."A planned set of controls, derived from the current product and process understanding that assures process performance and product quality"...

(ICH Q10)

Control elements for product quality and process performance

Control element	Type of control
Control of materials	Specifications for raw materials
	Manufacture and testing of cell banks; cell bank controls
	Manufacture and testing of plasmid DNA; supplier controls
	 Characteristics of incoming materials (raw materials, starting materials, intermediates, primary packing materials) that impact product quality attributes and their acceptable ranges
Control of process parameters	• Control implicit in the design of the manufacturing process or unit operations
	Manufacturing process controls
	 Process parameters that impact product quality or process performance attributes/ control limits/acceptable ranges
	Process hold time limits
	Process step times
	 Manufacturing process development and history for understanding and application of acceptable ranges

Control elements for product quality and process performance

Control element	Type of control
Non-routine product control through quality attribute testing	 Elucidation of structure and other characteristics Non-routine tests for characterisation and demonstration of product comparability Reference standard qualification
Product control through quality attribute testing	 Routine release testing and acceptance criteria in product specification Justification of specification Analytical procedures and their validation Product attribute control through process performance qualification

Post approval CMC lifecycle management

- During development CMC changes are communicated and approved through IND/IMPD amendments
- Post approval changes are classified further depending upon their potential to have an adverse effect in the safety, identity, strength, quality, purity, potency and efficacy of the vector drug product
 - Major (Substantial) Change Prior Approval Supplement (PAS) / Type II variation
 - New manufacturing site
 - Formulation changes
 - Moderate Change Changes Being Effective in 30 days (CBE-30) / Type IB variation
 - Completion of post approval commitments
 - Reduction of expiration dating
 - Minor Change Annual Report / Type IA variation
 - Increase or decrease in production scale if no equipment changes
 - Implementation of a new reference standard if the protocol is registered

Commercial supply

Continued/On-going Process Verification (CPV/OPV)

- On-going assurance that the commercial manufacturing process remains in a state of control (stable and capable)
- Collection, trending and analysis of manufacturing performance data [through CPV Monitoring Plans (CPV-Plan)] to assure the state of control of the process (product/process/site)
- Identifies potential issues and determines whether action must be taken to correct or prevent problems so that the process remains in a state of control
- Manufacturing Robustness Review Board (MRRB) now established to provide:
 - Oversight of manufacturing performance and process robustness across the OXB manufacturing network (all internal and CMO sites, technology transfer)
 - Monitor and reports on the status of cGMP process performance (stability and capability) and any impact of process changes
 - Sponsor and drives cross-site process improvement efforts ensuring the appropriate use of capabilities and resources
 - Identifying areas of need for improvement, proposing improvement projects, prioritising and monitoring progress of project and process improvement actions

Commercial supply

Typical CPV/OPV Programme

Concluding remarks

Concluding remarks

- CMC considerations during clinical and commercial supply are driven by a variety of internal and external drivers
- A systematic approach is required to manage the inevitable changes during the product lifecycle, from process design and development to commercial use
- Within the past 6 years OXB has had to face and continues to face many CMC challenges to meet the growing demand for lentiviral vectors
- Developing better process understanding and know-how, new technologies and processes coupled with more sophisticated understanding of CQAs, will ultimately pave the way to the step change improvements needed to meet the future clinical and commercial needs

Contact Us

Oxford Biomedica plc Windrush Court Transport Way Oxford OX4 6LT

John Dawson Chief Executive Officer Stuart Paynter Chief Financial Officer Catherine Isted Head of Corporate Development & IR

> +44 (0) 1865 783 000 IR@oxb.com www.oxb.com